Toxicity of Pesticides Registered for Use in Landscape Nurseries to the Acarine Biological Control Agent, Neoseiulus fallacis¹

P.D. Pratt and B.A. Croft²

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service Invasive Plant Research Laboratory, 3205 College Ave., Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33314

Abstract

The predatory mite *Neoseiulus fallacis* (Garman) is an important biological control agent of spider mites in landscape plants produced in the Pacific Northwest. Using pesticide toxicity information from the SELCTV database and recent literature reports, we estimated toxicity of several general pesticide classes to *N. fallacis*, compared susceptibility of *N. fallacis* to 3 other predatory mites and developed summary tables of pesticide toxicity to *N. fallacis*. Pesticide classes ranged from low or non-toxic to *N. fallacis* (i.e., organosulfur) to highly toxic (i.e., oxime carbamate). Pesticide susceptibility data for *N. fallacis* within or between chemical classes was similar to data for either of *Typhlodromus pyri* Scheuten, *Galendromus occidentalis* Nesbitt, or *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot. While all pesticide types (i.e., insecticides, fungicides, herbicides, etc.) contained representatives that were highly toxic to *N. fallacis*, various compounds were rated low or non-toxic. On average, insecticides were most toxic to *N. fallacis*, fungicides least toxic and herbicides intermediate. Probability of exposure and integration of *N. fallacis* into current control practices are discussed.

Index words: integrated pest management, Tetranychidae, Phytoseiidae, pesticide selectivity, predatory mite.

Species used in this study: Neoseiulus fallacis (Garman).

Significance to the Nursery Industry

A major obstacle impeding integration of the biological control agent *N. fallacis* into spider mite control programs is the use of disruptive pesticides. Selecting pesticides that are least toxic to *N. fallacis* may aid in conserving this predator. Herein we present toxicity values for various insecticides, miticides, fungicides and herbicides that are registered for use in landscape systems. Although each chemical class has pesticides that are highly toxic to *N. fallacis*, average toxicity ratings are highest for insecticides (pooled with miticides), intermediate for herbicides and lowest for fungicides. The toxicity tables presented herein will facilitate the integration of *N. fallacis* into control programs of landscape nursery systems. Further evaluations of pesticides are needed to improve development of integrated pest management (IPM) programs on landscape plants.

Introduction

Spider mites (Tetranychidae) are major pests of landscape nursery systems. Although the most common method of suppressing spider mites in nurseries is the application of pesticides, biological control programs are currently under development (8). The agents most commonly selected for biological control of spider mites are predatory mites in the family Phytoseiidae (3, 5). Preliminary tests in the Pacific Northwest suggest that inoculative releases of the predatory mite Neoseiulus fallacis (Garman) can control spider mite popu-

lations in landscape plants, and render miticide applications unnecessary in many cases (8, 9, 10).

One major obstacle that impedes integration of predatory mites into nursery control practices is the use of potentially disruptive herbicides, fungicides, insecticides, and miticides. Applications of these pesticides may be needed to control pests, and some applications are mandated by export regulations (i.e., Oregon State Law 571.200). Exposure to pesticides causes a range of direct and indirect effects on phytoseiid mites and often results in resurgence or secondary outbreaks of the pest (1, 13). Ruberson et al. (13) suggested that selective pesticides were the most useful method of integrating biological control agents into pest control programs. Therefore, we sought to evaluate toxicity of pesticides registered for use in nurseries to the biological control agent N. fallacis. We gathered pesticide toxicity information from the SELCTV database (1, 14) and recent literature. From these data we estimated general toxicity of pesticide classes (organophosphates, pyrethroids, etc.) to N. fallacis and developed a summary table of pesticide selectivity to N. fallacis.

Materials and Methods

Toxicity of general classes of pesticides to N. fallacis. Extensive pesticide toxicity data exists for natural enemies on SELCTV database housed in the Department of Entomology at Oregon State University (OSU) (14). To measure toxicity of major chemical classes we searched SELCTV for entries containing Neoseiulus (= Amblyseius) fallacis. Within this criterion, 3 strains were represented (total entries 531): resistant, tolerant and susceptible. Resistant strains refer to populations of N. fallacis that have developed resistance to organophosphate pesticides (1). For the purposes of this study, we used only the resistant and susceptible strains for evaluation. We identified the chemical classes that contained 4 or more data entries and assessed percent effect (mortality and repellency) for a single strain. We also included the alternative strain (resistant or susceptible) regardless of number of

¹Received for publication April 7, 2000; in revised form August 1, 2000. We thank J.W. Pscheidt, J. Green and H. Mathers (all of Oregon State University) for comments on the manuscript. This research was funded in part by grants from Oregon Association of Nurseryman and Northwest Nursery Crops Research Center (USDA). This is Journal Article R-07689 of the Florida Agricultural Experiment Station.

²Department of Entomology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-2907.

tests preformed. From these data we calculated a mean toxicity rating (with standard deviation) across all entries within the chemical class (Toxicity rating: 1 = 0% effect, 2 = < 10%, $3 = \ge 10$ but < 30%, $4 = \ge 30$ but < 90%, 5 = > 90%; see 14).

To determine the relative susceptibility of the resistant strain of *N. fallacis* to agricultural chemicals as compared to other phytoseiid mites, we followed the same procedure as described above for *Typhlodromus pyri* Scheuten, *Galendromus occidentalis* Nesbitt, and *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot. We narrowed our analysis to the common chemical classes: carbamate, organochlorine, organophosphate, organotin and pyrethroid. We used a Kruskal-Wallis statistical test to compare the mean pesticide susceptibility ratings among species (12).

Caution should be taken when interpreting toxicity of chemical classes in these analyses. SELCTV does not include many toxicity testing that were performed after 1986. Thus, there undoubtedly are more extensive data sets for older testing methods, active ingredients and formulations than for newer ones. The toxicity ratings presented herein are derived from SELCTV records that include different testing methods, treated substrates and routes of exposure. This array of sources increases the number of records that are in the analysis, but also adds to the variability in results (6). Finally, averaging over different testing methods and active ingredients assumes that all methods and ingredients are equally represented, which we acknowledge that they are not. To determine if the variability of test methods affected average toxicity rating for pooled strains of N. fallacis, we compared dip, field and residual test methods for organophosphate, carbamate and organochlorine chemical classes (1). Statistical comparisons were preformed with the general linear model (12).

Development of the selectivity table. A list of pesticides (different chemical compounds) registered for use on ornamentals in Oregon was obtained from extension publications at Oregon State University (2, 11, 15). Using this list, we then scanned SELCTV for selected compounds. Next, we calculated average toxicity (with SD) for either resistant or susceptible strains for all compounds with 1) three or more entries, 2) the same formulation, 3) the same rate applied and 4) measured % effect. We also reviewed more recent literature that was not entered in SELCTV (post-1986, 7, 8) and calculated the toxicity rating with the same criteria as above (5). Finally, we averaged both the toxicity ratings from

Table 1. Toxicity of chemical classes to resistant and susceptible strains of N. fallacis.

Chemical class	Strain	Ny	Avg. tox.x	SDw
organosulfur	R	3	1.43	0.53
organosulfur	S	4	1.75	0.96
benzimidazole	R	4	2.20	0.79
organotin	R	3	2.20	0.94
organotin	S	12	2.42	1.24
N-trihalomethylthio	R	1	2.57	1.40
N-trihalomethylthio	S	4	3.00	1.83
organochlorine	R	2	3.00	1.15
organochlorine	S	23	3.17	1.23
organophosphate	R	38	3.46	1.27
organophosphate	S	112	3.75	1.40
carbamate	S	17	3.88	1.22
benzimidazole	S	9	4.22	1.30
pyrethroid	R	11	4.39	0.72
pyrethroid	S	30	4.40	0.67
carbamate	R	7	4.50	0.76
oxime carbamate	R	2	4.75	0.50
oxime carbamate	S	9	4.78	0.44

*Strains of N. fallacis categorized as resistant (R) or susceptible (S) to organophosphates.

SELCTV and recent literature to produce a summary toxicity rating. We compared summary toxicity ratings among pesticide types with the Mann-Whitney U test (12). We used the Sidak inequality formula to maintain a 0.05 experimentwise a level among comparisons (4).

As described above, caution should be taken when interpreting the averaged toxicity summaries. These values are used to estimate the toxicity of pesticides to *N. fallacis* but may not be appropriate in all environmental conditions. Extrapolation from these data to field systems ignores direct and indirect effects mitigated by ecological (life history) and toxicological (exposure) parameters (1).

Results and Discussion

Selectivity of general classes of pesticides to N. fallacis. Average toxicity ratings for susceptible and resistant strains of N. fallacis ranged from < 10% effect (i.e., organosulfurs) to > 90% effect (i.e., oxime carbamates) (Table 1). The fun-

Table 2. Relative susceptibility of phytoseiid biological control agents to pesticides.

	Ty	Typhlodromus pyri		Galendromus occidentalis		Phyl	Phytoseiulus persimilis			Neoseiulus fallacis		
Chemical class	N²	Avg. tox.y	SDx	N	Avg. tox.	SD	N	Avg. tox.	SD	N	Avg. tox.	SD
carbamate	25	2.92	1.32	16	4.00	1.31	19	2.84	1.26	7	4.50	0.76
organochlorine	18	3.06	1.21	5	4.33	0.52	22	3.26	1.29	2	3.00	1.15
organophosphate	73	3.70	1.28	56	3.26	1.20	65	4.12	1.14	38	3.46	1.27
organotin	14	3.00	0.88	3	3.00	1.73	11	3.64	1.03	3	2.20	0.94
pyrethroid	18	4.67	0.69	32	4.27	0.72	20	3.72	1.49	11	4.39	0.72
Grand mean	ua nicit	3.47	innies#1	only the	3.77	Sign S	View In the Committee of the Committee o	3.52	MINITED IN	H to make	3.51	of an

²Number of tests reported in SELCTV database.

Number of tests reported in SELCTV database (14).

^{*}Toxicity rating: 1 = 0% effect, 2 = < 10%, $3 = \ge 10$ but < 30%, $4 = \ge 30$ but < 90%, 5 = > 90%.

[&]quot;Standard deviation.

Toxicity rating: 1 = 0% effect, 2 = < 10%, $3 = \ge 10$ but < 30%, $4 = \ge 30$ but < 90%, 5 = > 90%.

^{*}Standard deviation.

Table 3. Comparison of toxicity values among testing methods for three chemical classes to N. fallacis.

Chemical class	Dip ^z (N)	Fieldy (N)	Residue ^x (N)	F-value	df	P-value
Organophosphate	3.81 ^w (57)	3.88 (16)	3.53 (30)	0.48	2,100	0.62
Carbamate	4.4 (5)	4.75 (4)	3.29 (7)	3.22	2,13	0.07
Organochlorine	2.65 (8)	4.0 (6)	3.0 (8)	2.47	2,19	0.11

^zSlide dip method.

gicide benzimidazole was more toxic to susceptible strains (avg. toxicity = 4.22) than resistant strains (avg. toxicity = 2.20) (Table 1). The higher toxicity in the susceptible strain may be due to variability in the few tests used in the analysis as is seen in the standard deviation (SD = 1.3); also early tests for benomyl toxicity did not assess egg hatch reduction that usually results from exposure to this compound (1). Surprisingly, toxicity of organophosphates to the resistant strain had a value only slightly lower than that of the susceptible

strain. Again, this may be due to variability of testing or inappropriate classification of susceptible strains that are actually resistant. Croft (1) discussed the difficulty of finding susceptible strains of *N. fallacis* in many untreated agroecosystems and the likely mis-classification of the many strains of this predator that have been tested.

When comparing pesticide susceptibility of N. fallacis with similar ratings for other phytoseiids, no differences were found within or between chemical classes (P > 0.05; Table

Table 4. Toxicity ratings for insecticide and miticide compounds registered for use in ornamental nurseries of Oregon.

	Insecticides and miticides			SELCTV ^y data base		Current tests	Summary ^u tox. rating
Active ingredient	Trade name	Chemical class	Strainz	Ave. tox. rating ^x (N)	SD*	Ave. tox. rating (N)	
abamectin	Avid	acyclic lactone	R	4.0 (1)	ostluides	usceptibility to p	4.0
acephate	Orthene	organophosphate	R	1.0 (1)		4.0 (1)	2.5
			S	5.0 (1)			5.0
azinphos-methyl	Guthion	organophosphate	R	2.6 (14)	1.3	3.0 (1)	2.7
			S	3.1 (27)	1.5		3.1
bifenthrin	Talstar	pyrethroid	R			5.0 (1)	5.0
carbaryl	Sevin	carbamate	R	4.8 (5)	0.4		4.8
			S	4.3 (11)	0.8		4.3
carbofuran	Furadan	carbamate	R	5.0 (2)	0.0	5.0 (1)	5.0
			S	5.0 (1)			5.0
chlorpyrifos	Dursban (Lorsban)	organophosphate	R			5.0 (2)	5.0
			S	5.0 (1)		5.0 (1)	5.0
chlorotoluron	Alert		R			5.0 (1)	5.0
diazinon	Diazinon	organophosphate	R	3.0(18)		5.0 (1)	3.2
) tends	S	4.0 (8)	0.8		4.0
dicofol	Kelthane	organotin	R			5.0 (2)	5.0
		TOTAL PROPERTY.	S	3.0 (9)	1.3	5.0 (1)	3.4
dimethoate	Dimethoate	organophosphate	R	4.0 (2)	1.4		4.0
dimethoate	Dimethoate	organophosphate	S	4.7 (6)	0.8		4.7
endosulfan	Endosulfan (Thiodan)	organosulfur	R			4.0 (2)	4.0
	0.0		S	2.9 (9)	1.1	5.0 (1)	3.4
fenbutatin oxide	Vendex	organotin	R			2.0 (1)	2.0
hexythiazox	Hexygon	organosulfur	R			2.0 (1)	2.0
lindane	Lindane	organochlorine	S	2.0 (1)		-10 (1)	2.0
oxydemeton-methyl	Metasystox-R	organophosphate	R			5.0 (1)	5.0
oxythioquinox	Joust (Morestan	organosulfur	S	3.7 (3)	0.6	(1)	3.6
Mineral oil	V.0. (5) E.J		R	4.0 (2)	0.0		4.0
permethrin	Ambush (Pounce)	pyrethroid	R	4.5 (6)	0.8		4.5
0.0	0.0	ry	S	4.5 (11)	0.8		4.5
phosmet	Imidan		R	3.0 (3)	1.7		3.0
0.7	0.0		S	2.6(15)	1.5		2.6
propargite	Omite (Ornamite)	organosulfur	R	1.0 (3)	0.0	1.0 (1)	1.0
1-1-8		o-Banobana.	S	1.8 (4)	1.0	1.0 (1)	1.8
spinosad	Conserve	fungal metabolite	R	1.0 (4)	1.0	2.0 (1)	2.0
-Pincomo	Collocato	Tangar mounto				2.0 (1)	2.0

^zR = resistant, S = susceptible to organophosphate insecticides.

Product application and evaluation under field conditions.

^{*}Effect of residue (48 hours).

[&]quot;Toxicity rating: 1 = 0% effect, 2 = < 10%, $3 = \ge 10$ but < 30%, $4 = \ge 30$ but < 90%, 5 = > 90%.

^yDatabase containing toxicity data of agrochemicals to beneficial organisms.

^{*}Toxicity rating: 1 = 0% effect, 2 = < 10%, $3 = \ge 10$ but < 30%, $4 = \ge 30$ but < 90%, 5 = > 90%.

[&]quot;Standard deviation.

^{&#}x27;Toxicity data gathered from recent literature (post-1986).

[&]quot;Average of all selective and current toxicity ratings.

Table 5. Selectivity of fungicides to the biological control agent N. fallacis.

Fungicides			SELCTV data ba	SELCTV data base ^y				
Active ingredient	Trade name	Strainz	Ave. tox. rating ^x (N)	SD*	Ave. tox. rating (N)	SD	Summary tox. rating	
benomyl	Benlate	S	4.2 (9)	1.30	2.65 (8)		4.2	
11.0		R	2.0 (4)	0.0	2.0 (1)	0.0	2.0	
captan	Captan	S	3.0 (4)	1.83			3.0	
•		R	1.0 (1)	0.0	2.0 (1)	0.0	1.5	
dichlone	Diclone 50	S	2.3 (3)	0.58	contribute tield equalitions.		2.3	
dithianon	Dithionan	S	1.0 (2)	0.0			1.0	
dodine	Syllit	S	2.3 (6)	1.03			2.3	
fosetyl-aluminum	Aliette	R			2.0 (1)	0.0	2.0	
glyodin	Glyodin	S	3.5 (2)	0.7			3.5	
iprodione	Chipco 26019	R	and single		2.0 (1)	0.0	2.0	
metalaxyl	Subdue	R			5.0 (1)	0.0	5.0	
metiram	Polyram	S	1.3 (3)	0.6	A) has the secondary		1.3	
myclobutanil	Systhane/Eagle	R	cain ally resists		2.0 (1)	0.0	2.0	
propiconazole	Banner MAXX	R			1.0 (1)	0.0	1.0	
sulfur	Kolo-100/Wetable S	S	3.0 (1)	0.0			3.0	
		R			1.0 (1)	0.0	1.0	
thiram	Thiram	S	2.5 (2)	0.0	2.0 (1)	0.0	2.3	

 $^{{}^{2}}R$ = resistant, S = susceptible to organophosphate insecticides.

2). These findings suggest that *N. fallacis* exhibits similar levels of susceptibility to pesticides as other phytoseiids and common preservation strategies for multiple species of these mites may be used within agroecosystems.

When the toxicity ratings (% effect) among testing methods were compared for three chemical classes, data showed no differences among compounds (P > 0.05; Table 3). This pattern was surprising. Generally, laboratory tests show greater toxicity than field oriented testing (7). One explana-

tion for this result may be the variability in the testing or the variable toxicity of different intert materials and active ingredients that are represented within a chemical class. In these analyses, the factors listed above were assumed to be alike among chemical groups.

Development of the selectivity table. The summary toxicity rating for the insecticides and miticides that are registered for use in Oregon landscape nurseries ranged from non-

Table 6. Selectivity of herbicides to the biological control agent N. fallacis.

Herbicides			SELCTV data base ^y		Current' tests			
Active ingredient	Trade name	Strain	Ave. tox. rating* (N)	SD*	Ave. tox. rating (N)	SD	Summary ^u tox. rating	
bentazon	Basagran	R		O/RESTOURS	1.0 (1)	0.0	1.0	
bromoxtnil	Buctril	R R			5.0 (1)	0.0	5.0	
clomazone	Command	R			2.0 (1)	0.0	2.0	
dalapon	Dalapon	S	3.0 (1)	0.0			3.0	
diuron	Karmex/Topsite	R	3.0 (1)	0.0	0.0 (1)	0.0	1.5	
fluazipop-p-butyl	Fulislade	R			3.0 (1)	0.0	3.0	
gramaxone	Paraquat/Cyclone	R			5.0 (1)	0.0	5.0	
napropamide	Devrinol	R			2.0 (1)	0.0	2.0	
oxyfluoren	Goal	R			3.5 (2)	0.7	3.5	
pendimethylin	Prowl	R			5.0 (1)	0.0	5.0	
pyridate	Tough	R			3.0 (1)	0.0	3.0	
quizalofop-p-ethyl	Assure	R			3.0 (1)	0.0	3.0	
sethoxydin	Poast	R			3.0 (2)	0.0	3.0	
simazine	Simizine	R	2.0 (1)	0.0	2.0 (1)	0.0	2.0	
sufentrazone	Authority	R			2.0 (1)	0.0	2.0	
terbacil	Sinbar	R			2.5 (2)	0.7	2.5	

^zR = resistant, S = susceptible to organophosphate insecticides.

yDatabase containing toxicity data of agrochemicals to beneficial organisms.

Average toxicity value calculated from reports (N) in SELCTV, see text for rating system.

[&]quot;Standard deviation.

Toxicity data gathered from recent literature (post-1986).

[&]quot;Average of all selective and current toxicity ratings.

^yDatabase containing toxicity data of agrochemicals to beneficial organisms.

^xAverage toxicity value calculated from reports (N) in SELCTV, see text for rating system.

[&]quot;Standard deviation.

Toxicity data gathered from recent literature (post-1986).

[&]quot;Average of all selective and current toxicity ratings.

toxic to highly toxic (Table 4). The average toxicity rating among all compounds in Table 4 was 3.67 (SD = 1.19; N = 33). Among the least toxic chemicals to N. fallacis are two new products recently registered for ornamentals: hexythiazox and spinosad. Hexythiazox has been used extensively in the fruit industry and, as a spider mite ovicide, it may be very effective in IPM strategies. Spinosad is a fungal metabolite and is typically used for control of coleopteran and lepidopteran pests. Use of spinosad for root weevil control (Otiorhynchus spp.) may improve conservation of N. fallacis in landscape systems. In addition, acephate and lindane appear to be only mildly toxic to N. fallacis and may be candidates for IPM strategies. Consistent with field observations, carbaryl, carbofuran, chlorpyrifos, and permethrin are highly toxic to N. fallacis and should not be used with releases of the predatory mite.

The average toxicity rating among all fungicides was 2.28 (SD = 1.18; N = 10); this value was significantly lower than the values for insecticides and miticides (P < 0.05, Table 5). The systemic fungicide propiconazole had the lowest toxicity rating, followed by sulfur and captan for resistant strains of N. fallacis. Incorporation of these active ingredients into pathogen control programs may aid in conservation of N. fallacis on spider mite infested plants. In contrast, metalaxyl (Subdue®) had the highest toxicity and appears to be incompatible with introductions of N. fallacis.

The overall toxicity rating of herbicides in Table 6 was 3.06 (SD = 1.43; N = 8); this was intermediate to the other pesticide types (P > 0.05). The least toxic herbicide to N. fallacis was bentazon, followed by the moderately toxic napropamide and simazine. In contrast, gramaxone and pendimethylin were highly toxic to N. fallacis. As previously stated, these values should be used as coarse indicators of pesticides harmfulness.

The probability that *N. fallacis* could be exposed to the individual pesticides listed in Table 4–6 may differ spatially and temporally (13). For instance, insecticides, miticides and fungicides are applied directly to the foliage of landscape plants. This is in contrast to herbicides applications, which typically are not. However, systemic translocation of pesticides from plants to predaceous arthropods through their prey can occur. Little is known of this route of exposure to herebicides by predators (1). Assuming that *N. fallacis* is associated with spider mites on landscape plants, the probability for exposure to herbicides is probably less than to insecticides. Also, early season fungicides and pre-emergent herbicides may be applied prior to releases of *N. fallacis* and,

assuming that residuals have no negative affects, these types of compounds will not interfere with biological control.

Literature Cited

- 1. Croft, B.A. 1990. Arthropod Biological Control Agents and Pesticides. Wiley, New York. 723 pp.
- 2. Fisher, G.C., J.D. DeAngelis, C. Baird, R. Stoltz, L. Sandoval, A. Antonelli, D. Mayer, and E. Beers (Compilers and Editors). 1997. Pacific Northwest Insect Control Handbook. Oregon State University Ag. Comm. Exp. Stat. Pub. 354 p.
- 3. Helle, W. and M.W. Sabelis. 1985. Spider Mites: Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control., Vol.1B. Elsevier, Amsterdam. 458 pp.
- 4. Jones, D. 1984. Use, misuse, and role of multiple-comparisons procedures in ecological and agricultural entomology. Environ. Entomol. 13:635–649.
- McMurtry, J.A. and B.A. Croft. 1997. Life-styles of phytoseiid mites and their roles in biological control. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 42:291–321.
- 6. Morris, M.A. 1999. Biological control of *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch) on peppermint by *Neoseiulus fallacis* (Garman); density relationships, overwintering, habitat manipulation and pesticide effects. Ph.D. Dissertation. Department of Entomology, Oregon State University. 79 p.
- 7. Murphy, C.F., P.C. Jepson, and B.A. Croft. 1994. Database analysis of the toxicity of antilocust pesticides to non-target, beneficial invertebrates. Crop Protect. 13:413–419.
- 8. Pratt, P.D. 1999. Biological control of spider mites by the predatory mite *Neoseiulus fallacis* in ornamental nursery systems. Ph.D. Dissertation. Department of Entomology. Oregon State University. 175 p.
- 9. Pratt, P.D. and B.A. Croft. 1998. *Panonychus citri* on ornamental *Skimmia* in Oregon, with assessment of predation by native phytoseiid mites. Pan Pacific Entomol. 73:163–168.
- 10. Pratt, P.D. and B.A. Croft. 1999. Expanded distribution of the bamboo spider mite, *Schizotetranychus longus*, and predation by *Neoseiulus fallacis*. Acarologia 40:191–197.
- 11. Pscheidt, J.W. and C.M. Ocamb. 1998. Pacific Northwest plant disease control handbook. Oregon State University Agric. Comm. Exp. Stat. Pub. 425 p.
- 12. Ramsey, F.L. and D.W. Schafer. 1997. The Statistical Sleuth. Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA. 742 p.
- 13. Ruberson, J.R., H. Nemoto, and Y. Hirose. 1998. Pesticides and conservation of natural enemies in pest management. *In*: Conservation Biological Control. Ed. P. Barbosa. Academic Press. 396 pp.
- 14. Theiling, K.M. and Croft, B.A. 1988. Pesticide side-effects on arthropod natural enemies: a database summary. Agric. Eco. Environ. 21:191–218
- William, R.D., D. Ball, T.L. Miller, R. Parkor, J.P. Yenish, T.W. Miller,
 Eberlein, G.A. Lee, and D.W. Morishita. 1998. Pacific Northwest Weed
 Control Handbook. Oregon State University Agric. Comm. Exp. Stat. Pub.
 370 p.

toxic to highly toxic (Table 4). The average toxicity rating among all compounds in Table 4 was 3.67 (SD = 1.19; N = 33). Among the least toxic chamicals to M. stiffacts are two new products recently registered for ornamentals: new products recently registered for ornamentals: the synthazox and spinosad. Heavythiaxox has been used extensively in the fruit industry and, as a spider mite ovicide, it may be very effective in II M strategies. Spinosad is a immal metabolite and is typically used for control of colseptoran and lepidopteran pests. Use of spinosad for noot weavil control (Oriortyments sup.) may improve conservation of N. Italiacis in laudscape systems. In addition, acopitate and linduse appear to be only mildly toxic to N. fallacis and may be conservable to be only mildly toxic to N. fallacis and permetarin metabolity toxic to N. fallacis and permetarin metabolity toxic to N. fallacis and should and he used with resignly toxic to N. fallacis and should and he used with resignly toxic to N. fallacis and should and he used with resignly toxic to N. fallacis and should and he used with resignly toxic to N. fallacis and should and he used with re-

The average toxicity maing among all frangicides was 2,28 (SD = 1.18; N = 10); this value was significantly lower than the values for insecticides and micides (P < 0.05, Table 5). The systemic flungicide propiconarole had the lowest toxicity rating, followed by suffur and capture for resistant strains of W followis. Incorporation of those active ingredients into perhogen control programs may aid in conservation of W. followes on spider rate infessed plants in construst, metalaxyl (Subdeess) had the highest toxicity and appears to be incomparable with introductions of W. following

The overall teaticity rating of herbicides in Table 6 was 3.06 (SD = 1.43; M = 8); this was intermediate to the office pesticide types (P > 0.05). The heast toxic herbicide to M fallacis was beneau, followed by the mederately toxic appropamide and simulation; in contrast, gramaxone and pendimentically were highly toxic to M fallacis. As previously stated, these values should be used as course indicators of cesticides highlyteess.

The probability that N. Jaliners could be exposed to the individual positions listed in Table 4-6 rasy differ spatially and temporally (13). For instance, insocicides, milicities and auditemporally (13). For instance, insocicides, milicities and fungicides are applied directly to the foliage of landscape plants. This is in contrast to herebicious applications, which spicasity are not. However, systemic translocation of pesticides from plants to produceous arthropods through their prayer can occur. Listle is known of this return of exposure to because the second with spider raites on landscape plants, the probability for exposure to berbicides is probably less than to ability for exposure to berbicides is probably less than to insecticides. Also, early season fungicides and or energent

assuming that reciduals have no negative affects, these types of compounds will not interfere with biological control.

Liberature Cited

- Croft, B.A. 1999, Arthropod Biological Control Agents and Periodics. Writey, New York, 723 pp.
- Febot, G.C., J.D. DeAngelis, C. Baled, R. Stolfe, L. Sandoval, A. Antonolfi, D. Mayor, and B. Beers (Compilers and Editors), 1997. Parific Northwest Insent Control Hardbook, Oregon State University Ag. Comm. Exp. Stat. Pub. 354 p.
- Helle, W. and M.W. Sabella. 1983. Spider Miles. Their Biology. Natural Ebendius and Congol., Vol. 18. Electic. Ameterdam. 438, pp.
- Jones, D. 1984. Use, mirror, and role of multiple-comparisons procedures in contract and systematical enterprises. Environ. Enterpol. 134(33-649.
- Mehturez, I.A. and R.A. Croft. 1997. Life-uplex of phytoselid misssed their color in biological caneral. Ann. New Benevard. 42:291–221.
- 6. Monis, N.A. 1999. Ricingical council of Terrangelous serious (Rock) on pappermint by Veccetulus follows (Carmon): density relationships, overwindering, bothst manigritates and positistic effects. Ph. D. Dissertation. Department at Euromology, Outgots State University, 79 p.
- Murphy, C.R., P.C. Jepton, sint B.A. Croft. 1994. Database analysis
 of the toxicity of excitational positions to non-target, broefficial invariances.
 Once from the positional positions.
- 6. Pran. P.D. 1900. Biological control of spider onice by the producing mite Neovolube, follows in ottomoreal nursery systems. Ph. D. Dissociation, Department of Entomology, Oregon State University, 175 p.
- Prant, P.D. and B.A. Ordf. 1993. Paramyteins clar on otraspontal Skinneste to Ontgos, with naves around of predation by antive phytoscied mines. Pea Praisite Entonals. 73:163–168.
- Pran, P.D. and B. A. Crob, 1999. Expanded distribution of the bundle of apitter min. Sciencestropolises frague, and predamon by Necessialus followin. Americação 40:191–197.
- Pierbeich, I.W. and C.M. Oramb. 1993. Pacific Markeyez plant disease control headbook. Geogra State University Agric. Comm. Exp. Stat. Pab. 125 p.
- 12. Ramory, RL and D.W. Schufer, 1993. The Sentinical Street. Durbucy Press, Bellinois, CA, 742 p.
- 13. Ruberton, J.R., H. Nemoto, and Y. Hirose. 1992. Posicides and concervation of macetal chemics in post management. In: Consurvation Biological Control, Ed. R. Barbora. Academic Press, 280 pp.
- Theiling, K.M. and Croft, B.A. 1983. Peritoids side-effects on estapped majoral escales: a floribase enouncy. Agric. Rev. Psychol. 21:191– 218.
- William, R.D. D. Bell, T.L. Miller, R. Vuchor, J.P. Yonish, T.W. Millen, C. Eberbeln, G.A. Loc, and D.W. Mociebina, 1993. Parific Mardinioni Wood Control Handbook. Oregon State University Agric. Control. Exp. Stat. Pub. 378 p.